Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 00611 011321Z

50

ACTION IO-10

INFO OCT-01 EA-06 ISO-00 L-02 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-01 INR-07 NSAE-00

NSC-05 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 DPW-01 ACDA-05

AF-06 ARA-06 EUR-12 NEA-09 /094 W

----- 126229

O P 011230Z JAN 75 FM USMISSION GENEVA TO SECSTATE WASHDC NIACT IMMEDIATE 361 INFO AMEMBASSY BERN PRIORITY AMEMBASSY SAIGON PRIORITY USMISSION USUN NEW YORK PRIORITY USDEL JEC PARIS PRIORITY

CONFIDENTIAL GENEVA 0611

EO 11652: GDS

TAGS: ICRC, PARM, VS, VN, PFOR

SUBJ: PRG ISSUE AT LAW OF WAR CONFERENCE

REF: GENEVA 603

1. THE ALGERIAN DRAFT RESOLUTION ON THE PRG ISSUE (REFTEL) IS A VARIATION OF THE BOTH-OR-NEITHER FORMULA IN MADAME BINH'S LETTER OF DECEMBER 1974 TO SWISS PRESIDENT GRABER. PRG SUPPORTERS HAVE THUS CHOSEN A TACTIC TRANSPARENTLY DESIGNED TO COVER THE ISSUE OF PRG ENTRY, DECIDED LAST YEAR, WITH THE "NEW" FEATURE OF ALLEGED PARITY WITH THE GVN. IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL THAT COUNTRIES WHICH MIGHT SUPPORT US ON THE PROCEDURAL ISSUE OF RULE 32 VERSUS RULE 35 PARA 4 BE AWARE THAT THE ALGERIAN DRAFT RESOLUTION (WHICH HAS MOSTLY COMMUNIST CO-SPONSORS) IS NOT "NEW" AND THAT THE BASIS ON WHICH WE SOUGHT THEIR SUPPORT IS PRECISELY THE ISSUE RAISED.

2. THE "NEW" ELEMENT IS FRAUDULENT. GVN INVITED AS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 00611 011321Z

A PARTICIPANT IN THE CONFERENCE AND ITS CREDENTIALS

ACCEPTED, AND NO PROCEDURE EXISTS FOR THE EXPULSION OF THE GVN. SINCE THE GVN IS ALREADY THERE, PURPORTING TO INVITE IT AGAIN HAS ABSOLUTELY NO EFFECT. THE ONLY EFFECT OF THE ALGERIAN DRAFT RESOLUTION, THEREFORE, IS TO PROPOSE THE ENTRY OF THE PRG. THIS PROPOSAL, HAVING BEEN FOUGHT AND DECIDED LAST YEAR, CLEARLY FALLS WITHIN RULE 32, AND WE PLAN TO PRESS THIS CASE IN THE STRONGEST POSSIBLE TERMS

- 3. AS A MATTER OF TACTICS, WE HAVE TENTATIVELY DECIDED ON FOLLOWING COURSE OF ACTION. DURING DISCUSSION OF ALGERIAN DRAFT RES, A FRIENDLY DEL WILL MAKE A POINT OF ORDER TO INQUIRE OF THE CHAIR THAT VOTING MAJORITY--TWO-THIRDS (RULE 32) OR SIMPLE MAJORITY (RULE 35(4))--APPLIES TO VOTE ON ALGERIAN PROPOSAL. RULE 21 PROVIDES: "DURING THE DISCUSSION OF ANY MATTER, A REPRESENTATIVE MAY RISE TO A POINT OF ORDER, AND THE POINT SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY DECIDED BY THE PRESIDENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RULES OF PROCEDURE. A REPRESENTATIVE MAY APPEAL AGAINST THE RULING OF THE PRESIDENT. THE APPEAL SHALL IMMEDIATELY BE PUT TO THE VOTE AND THE PRESIDENT'S RULING SHALL STAND UNLESS THE APPEAL IS APPROVED BY A MAJORITY OF THE REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT AND VOTING. A REPRESENTATIVE RISING TO A POINT OF ORDER MAY NOT SPEAK ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MATTER UNDER DISCUSSION." IN THEORY, THEREFORE, CONFERENCE CHAIRMAN SHOULD RULE, AND RULING WOULD BE SUBJECT TO CHALLENGE BY MAJORITY VOTE. IT IS IN THIS VOTE THAT RULE 32 VERSUS RULE 35(4) ISSUE WOULD BE PRESENTED. HOWEVER, IF GRABER IN CHAIR, WE HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THAT, DESPITE TEXT OF RULE 21, GRABER WILL REFUSE TO MAKE ANY RULING AND FIND SOME WAY TO THROW ISSUE OF WHICH VOTING MAJORITY RULE APPLIES DIRECTLY TO CONFERENCE.
- 4. OUR OPPONENTS HAVE OBLIQUELY ACKNOWLEDGED THE STRENGTH OF OUR ARGUMENT ON THE PROCEDURAL ISSUE BY DISGUISING THEIR PROPOSAL IN THIS WAY. THEIR DISCOMFORT OVER THE OBVIOUS APPLICABILITY OF RULE 32 SHOWS THROUGHOUT THE DRAFT RESOLUTION. THEY RESORT TO THE CAMOUGLAGE OF SUGGESTING PARITY BETWEEN THE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GENEVA 00611 011321Z

GVN AND PRG, AN IRRELEVANT PROPOSAL GIVEN THE GVN'S STATUS AS A PARTICIPANT, BECAUSE THAT IS THE ONLY WAY WE CAN PLAUSIBLY HIDE AN ISSUE WHICH THEY TACITLY ADMIT WAS CLOSED LAST YEAR.

5. ACTION REQUESTED: WE STRONGLY URGE THE DEPT TO ISSUE AN IMMEDIATE INSTRUCTION TO POST IN ALL COUNTRIES OTHER THAN THOSE THAT HAVE REGISTERED SUPPORT FOR RULE 35.

THESE POSTS SHOULD BE ASKED TO MAKE A FOLLOW-UP DEMARCHE TO THEIR HOST GOVERNMENTS, IN ORDER TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT WE HOPE FOR THEIR SUPPORT ON RULE 32. THE HOST GOVERNMENTS SHOULD BE REQUESTED TO CONFIRM THEIR INSTRUCTIONS TO THEIR DELEGATIONS ON THIS BASIS. THE POINT SHOULD BE MADE THAT THE QUESTION POSED BY THE ALGERIAN RESOLUTION IS EXACTLY THE ISSUE WHICH WAS DECIDED LAST YEAR. POSTS THAT HAVE NOT YET MADE A DEMARCHE SHOULD BE ASKED TO DO SO AT ONCE, LINKING THE ABOVE ARGUMENTS TO THOSE IN THE ORIGINAL INSTRUCTION.

6. THE DEMARCHES MUST BE MADE ON MONDAY, FEBRUARY 3, FOR THE VOTING COULD TAKE PLACE THE NEXT DAY. DALE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: POLICIES, MEMBER ADMISSIONS, COMMUNIST FRONT ORGANIZATIONS, MEETING PROCEEDINGS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 01 JAN 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: greeneet
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975GENEVA00611

Document Number: 1975GENEVA00611
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS

Errors: N/A Film Number: D750038-0082

From: GENEVA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750183/aaaacvkr.tel Line Count: 129 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION IO

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 75 GENEVA 603 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: greeneet

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 13 MAY 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <13 MAY 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <15 SEP 2003 by greeneet>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: PRG ISSUE AT LAW OF WAR CONFERENCE TAGS: PARM, PFOR, VS, VN, ICRC, PRG To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006